## TEMPLATE FOR AUTHORIZED STATES NPDES Annual CMS Plan and EOY Report for Minnesota

National NPDES CMS Metric			Minnesota NPDES CMS Plan for 2015						Minnesota End of Year Report						
				-											
	National Compliance Monitoring Goal	Universe of Facilities		ate Commitment activity type	Combined EPA/State	1	e Brief Explanation of Flexibilities Utilized	Completed Activities # of facilities   activity type	% of Universe	% Change from Commitment (specify +/-)	Brief Explanation of Change				
1.A: Major Facilities –	At least one comprehensive inspection of		in or activity	activity type	Communent	Covered	Bitel Explanation of Fexibilities of three	in or racincies activity type	Covered	(specify +/-)	Brief Explanation of Change				
Traditional Approach	all majors every two years														
							In FY15 MN is up to near normal in inspector resources, but has 4-5 FTEs								
1.A: Major Facilities – Alternative Approach for Eligible	At least one comprehensive inspection every three years for facilities designated						that are less than 12 months into the program. The MPCA will conduct 41 Majors in FY15. These majors were selected using the Inspection								
Facilities	for modified frequency by ITM			0.51			Targeting Model with ICIS data and updated inspections from State data.								
1.A Totals	s s	9	41	CEI		419	6	41 CEI	0.41						
1.77 Total.			41				MPCA NPDES/SDS WQ traditional non majors (minors) inspection plan,	41	0.41						
1.B.1: Traditional non-major –	At least one inspection every five years.  Comprehensive inspections for at least	1018 tota	al 204 or 20%				covering both 1.B.1. and 1.B.2. Goal is to inspect approximately 204 facilities. Sites will be selected from the universe of traditional non				A total of 250 minors were covered. 1% is an estimated value due to resources committed to Tempo database. Of the 250 it is				
non-impaired waters	5% of universe.	combined 1.B.	1 see text at right	CEI/DSA*			majors. Selection will be completed using a combination of reports,				unknown if 204 (as committed) were NPDES only. Total is a				
		and 1.B.	2 for breakdown	(*for 50-75 sites).		20%	risked-based approach of facility discharge types, the length of time	234 CEI/Recon	19%	-1%	mixture of both NPDES and SDS.				
1.B.2: Traditional non-major –	At least one comprehensive inspection						between site inspections, and in conjunction with their proximity and contribution (or lack of) to waters with 303 (d) impairments or sensitive								
impaired waters	every five years.	1018 total combined 1.8.1	204 or 20% see text at right				watersheds. Approximately 5-7% (approx 50-75) of the inspections in 1.B.1 will be conducted using the DSA type inspections in conjunction	16 in addition 10 complaints to the 234 4 ORRs, 2 Enf.							
		and 1.B.2	for breakdown			20%	with the reports/methods above. Any sites in 1.B.1 or 1.B.2 that have	above follow-up							
1.C.1: Pretreatment program	At least one audit every five years.	1	4	DAIc			This exceeds the National CMS minimum commitment for PAIs based on MN's Universe. 20% of the univers = 2.8 PAIs.	3 PAI	20%	0.00					
audits		1	3	PAIs			INTO 3 OTHER CISC. 2070 OF THE UNITED 5 - 2.0 FMIS.	3 PAI	20%	0%	7				
1 C 2. Dwct							This is one PCI less than required per the CMS (2 every 5 years with								
1.C.2: Pretreatment program inspections	At least two PCIs every five years.						MN's Universe) Minnesota will try to meet the requirements of in FY15, however is curretnly strapped for resources due to reassignments of								
							staff to develop, test and train programs in new agency-wide data base				commducted twice as many but there is not % commitment for				
		1	4 2	PCIs			called Tempo 360.	4 PCI	0%	0%	PCIs on an annual basis				
1.C.3: Pretreatment - SIUs															
discharging to POTWs w/out	Inspect and sample all SIUs annually.														
approved pretreatment program	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,														
program															
											Minnes at a will try to most the requirements of ACCEPACS in				
											Minnesota will try to meet the requirements of 40CFR403 in FY15, however is curretnly strapped for resources due to				
											reassignments of staff to develop, test and train programs in new				
		2	see notes far right				No flexiblities/deviations because this is a regulatory requirement (see 40 CFR 403.8(f)(2)). See MPCA note at far right.	5 CEI/Recon	20%	-80%	agency-wide data base called Tempo 360. Cannot meet goal due to Tempo 360 testing efforts (e-dmr) greater than projected)				
		283 total;													
1.D: Sewage Sludge/Biosolids (Generation, Use and Disposal	At least one inspection or off-site desk	65 majors w/lan	d				Minnesota is not a delegated 503 program but continues to inspect								
Sites)	audit every five years.	85 minors w/					Majors				7 majors were conducted in stead of 2 majors and 6 minors in				
		land app	2 Majors, 6 Minors				and Minors with land application each year.	7 BCI	11%	100%	FY15				
2.A: Combined sewer systems (CSS)- Major and non-major	At least one CSO inspection every five years.														
(C33)- Wajor and Hon-major	years.		1 0					n/a							
	Inspect at least 5% of universe of permitted POTWs with SSS annually or														
2.B: Sanitary Sewer Systems	more frequently, if needed, to evaluate						Minnesota evaluates collection systems, data and corrective actions for								
	all recurring SSOs.	42	7 22	CEI		5%	violations through normal CEI 6 inspections of NPDES facilities.	177 CEI	41%	(+)36%	exceeding goal				
	One audit, MS4 inspection or off-site														
2.C.1: MS4 – Phase I and Phase	desk audit of entire universe at least							MS4							
11	every five years, with onsite audit or inspection at least every seven years.		_	NACA A. III II			MPCA will conduct on-site inspections/audits of entire universe over 7-	Audits/Inspect	1						
	,,	23	33	MS4 Audits/Inspections		149	6 year period. There are no desk audits planned.	33 ions	14%	0%					
							Coal is E9/ inspections sources at facilities (university as CO)								
							Goal is 5% inspections coverage at facilities (universe 1500) or approximately 75 inspections (inspection resource FTE 2.0), <b>plus</b> real-								
							time monitoring off all facilities through review of quarterly monitoring								
2.C.2: Industrial stormwater	Inspect at least 10% of the universe each						data. All ISW facilities in Minnesota are required to conduct 'benchmark monitoring' of industry-related parameters for 4								
	year.						consecutive quarters, giving MPCA a degre of 'real-time' information on								
							all ISW facilities and the opportunity to identify facilities having trouble								
							meeting benchmarks. State then determines appropriate response, ranging from outreach, compliance assistance, site inspection, or need								
							for enforcement. Sites that might contribute to 303 (d) watersheds								
		1452 permits 2130 N.E Total					will be comprehensive inspections. To conserve FTE resources, municipal or industrial majors and minors that have an ISW component								
		3582	75 (see text at right)			59	will be inspected and counted in conjunction with either 1.B.1. or 1.B.2.	98 CEI/ORR	7%	2%					
			75 (see text at right)			59		98 CEI/ORR	7%	2%	3				

## TEMPLATE FOR AUTHORIZED STATES NPDES Annual CMS Plan and EOY Report for Minnesota

	Inspect at least 10% of the universe each year.	3346 active 1673 apps/yr	334	Stormwater Inspection		1673 permits/year; open for 2 years on average=3346 active; also piloting coordinating project with regulated MS4s.	313	ConstructionS tormwater Inspections	91%		CMS goals were not met due to: 1) loss of resources to TEMPO database development, 2) loss of 2 program FTE's, 3) significant staff turnover in the CSW program, and 4) development and implementation of a continuous improvement project focused on relationship building with local CSW programs within regulated MS4s.
2.D.1: Large and medium permitted CAFOs	At least one inspection every five years.	1364	205		0	State commitment is 75% of 1/5 of the Universe. This reduction is due to resources lost from the MPCA's C&E database replacement project. This committment will be satisfied with traditional comprehensive inspections and a limited number of focused inspections of application of manure on frozen or snow covered soils.	237 Traditional, 4 Focused	Trad. Inspection / Focused Inspection	17.6%	+2%	
1/1) / large linnermitted ( AFI is	Inspect each unpermitted CAFO at least once to determine if permit is required.	0			o	all large CAFOs are permitted. Large CAFOs are inspected once every five years.					
2.D.3: Medium AFOs	Assess all medium-sized AFOs to determine if facility is a CAFO or should be designated.	0			0	AFOs with the potential to be defined or designated as a medium CAFO are inspected due to complaints and on a routine basis by delegated county feedlot staff and on an as needed basis by MPCA staff. If a discharge is identified, the discharge must be eliminated.					
2.D.4: Small AFOs	Inspect as needed.	0			0	AFOs with the potential to be designated as a small CAFO are inspected due to complaints or on an as needed basis. All feedlots with 50 or more animal units outside of shoreland, or 10 or more animal units in shoreland must be registered with the state.					
3.A: Pesticides	Inspect as needed.					MN pesticide program will utilize a complaint and tip component. It also will include coordination with MN DNR and Dept of Agriculture in applicator management pursuant to the SDS Permit.					
3.B: Vessels	Inspect as needed.					MN Ballast water permit program will utilize a complaint and tip component. It also will include a review of ballast water management plans pursuant to the SDS Permit. There is no added program support for these CMS commitments and resouirces will draw from traditonal NPDES/SDS program areas					

		Minnesota NPDES CMS Plan for 2015								End of Year Repor						
National NPDES CMS Metric	National Compliance Monitoring Goal	Universe of	EPA Region	n Commitment					ted Activities							
		Universe of Facilities		activity type	Combined EPA/State Commit	% of Universe Covered	Brief Explanation of Flexibilities Utilized		s activity type	% of Universe Covered	% Change from Commitment (specify +/-)	Brief Explanation of Chang				
1.A: Major Facilities – Traditional Approach	At least one comprehensive inspection of all majors every two years	racinites			Combined LFAy state Commit	76 OF OHIVETSE COVERED	Biter Explanation of Flexibilities offized			% of officerse covered	% change from communent (specify 1/-)	Diei Explanation of Chang				
1.A: Major Facilities — Alternative Approach for Eligible Facilities	At least one comprehensive inspection every three years for facilities designated for modified frequency by ITM	98	8 4	1 CEI		41%	In FY15 MN is up to normal in inspector resources, but has 4-5 FTEs that are less than 12 months into the program. The MPCA will conduct 41 Majors in FY15. These majors were selected using the ICIS data and updated inspections. This is 41% of the universe. {EPA: are you using targeting model? If so please state.}									
1.A Totals		,	4	1	0				0	(						
1.B.1: Traditional non-major — non-impaired waters	At least one inspection every five years. Comprehensive inspections for at least 5% of universe.  At least one comprehensive	1018 (total	) 204 (20%)				MPCA NPDES/SDS WQ traditional non majors (minors) inspection plan, covering both 1.B.1. and 1.B.2. Goal is approximately 204 inspections. Sites will be selected from the universe of traditional non majors. Selection will be completed using reports, a risked based approach of facility discharge types, the length of time between site inspections and, lastly in conjunction with their proximity and contribution (or lack of) to waters with 303 (d) impairments or sensitive watersheds. Approximately 5-7% (approx 50-75) of the inspections will be conducted using the DIA type inspections in conjunction with the reports/methods above. This									
impaired waters	inspection every five years.						subset will not include contributing facilities. Any sites that have an industrial stormwater component will be inspected and counted under 2.C.2.									
audits	At least one audit every five years.	14	4	3 PAI			Paul and Jeramie - you did great job explaining the recent significant increase in your state PT program universe, and that auditing these newer programs is not appropriate yet. Please state that here.									
1.C.2: Pretreatment program inspections	At least two PCIs every five years.	14	4	2			If this commitment is related to the explanation you provided in 1.C.1, then please state that.									
1.C.3: Pretreatment - SUIs discharging to POTWs w/out approved pretreatment program	Inspect and sample all SIUs annually.	20	6 see notes				Minnesota will try to meet the requirements of 40CFR403 in FY15, however is curretnly strapped for resources due to reassignments of staff to develop, test and train programs in new agency-wide data base called Tempo 360.									
1.D: Sewage Sludge/Biosolids (Generation, Use and Disposal Sites)	At least one inspection or off-site desk audit every five years.	283 total; 65 majors w/ land app 85 minors w/ land app	2 Majors 6 minors				Minnesota is not a delegated 503 program but continues to inspect Majors and Minors with land application each year.									
1.E: Oversight	Regional discretion; should include some activity in each state each year						To Be Determined after consultations between Region and MPCA staff									
2.A: Combined sewer systems (CSS)- Major and Non-major	At least one CSO inspection every five years.	_	1	0												
2.B: Sanitary Sewer Systems	Inspect at least 5% of universe of permitted POTWs with SSS annually or more frequently, if needed, to evaluate all recurring SSOs.	42'	7 2	2 CEI			Minnesota evaluates collection systems, data and corrective actions for violations through normal CEI inspections of NPDES facilities.									
2.C.1: MS4 — Phase I and Phase	One audit, MS4 inspection or off- site desk audit of entire universe at least every five years, with onsite audit or inspection at least every seven years.	239	5 3	3			This is almost 1/7 years (33 X 7 =231). Is state planning any off-site desk audits? See page 14 of 2014 CMS									
2.C.2: Industrial stormwater	Inspect at least 10% of the universe each year.	1452 permits 2130 N.E Total 3582	7	5		5%	Goal is for 5% of facilities (universe 1500) or approximately 75 inspections (inspection resource FTE 2.0). All (100 %) of ISW facilities in Minnesota are required to monitor stormwater for a host of related industry related parameters. In order for the sites to cease monitoring 4 quarters' averages must meet the benchmark. Reporting of monitoring and updating of stormwater plans are required pursuant to the MPCA ISW general permit. A subset of sites that might contribute to 303 (d) watersheds will be comprehensive inspections. To conserve FTE resources, any sites that have an industrial wastewater component will be inspected and counted in conjunction with either 1.B.1. and 1.B.2.									
2.C.3: Construction stormwater sites (one acre or larger)	Inspect at least 10% of the universe each year.															
2.D.1: Large and medium permitted CAFOs	At least one inspection every five years.															
2.D.2: Large unpermitted CAFOs	Inspect each unpermitted CAFO at least once to determine if permit is required.															
2.D.3: Medium AFOs	Assess all medium-sized AFOs to determine if facility is a CAFO or should be designated.															
2.D.4: Small AFOs	Inspect as needed.		1													
3.A: Pesticides	Inspect as needed.															
3.B: Vessels	Inspect as needed.															